IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

THURSDAY, THE 16TH AUGUST 2007 / 25TH SRAVANA 1929

OP.No. 36392 of 2001(G)

PETITIONER:

E.C. SAJEEV, AGED 40 YRS,
S/O. CHANDRAN, ELLIKULATH
HOUSE, MOOTHAKUNNAM.

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.PRADEEP KUMAR

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

2. **THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, FORT COCHIN.**

3. **THE TAHSILDAR, TALUK OFFICE, NORTH PARUR TALUK.**

4. **THE VILLAGE OFFICER, MOOTHAKUNNAM VILLAGE, NORTH PARUR.**

5. **THE SECRETARY, MOOTHAKUNNAM PANCHAYATH, POST MOOTHAKUNNAM, ERNAKULAM DIST.**

BY GOVT. SRI. MATHEW JOSEPH VADAKKEL

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 16/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON CMP NO. 59392/2001 IN OP NO. 36392/2001.

DISMISSED

16/08/2007. SD/- T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1. COPY OF THE PROVISIONAL REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE.

EXT.P2. COPY OF THE ASSESSMENT ORDER DT. 25/1/01.

EXT.P3. COPY OF THE ORDER DT. 24/09/01 BY THE R3.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS NIL.

True copy

tga

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

O.P.No. 36392 of 2001-G.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 16th day of August, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner challenging Exts.P2 and P3 orders by which an order of assessment under the Kerala Building Tax Act has been confirmed in appeal by the appellate authority. The petitioner has got an effective remedy of filing revision before the revisional authority namely, the District Collector under Section 13 of the Act. Therefore, if the petitioner files a revision petition within two months from today before the District Collector, the same shall be entertained and disposed of on merits within a further period of three months thereafter. As per interim order dated 29.11.2001 the petitioner had deposited an amount of Rs.4,000/- in addition to the amount already deposited. Since the interim order is in force till this time, the same will continue till the revision petition is finally heard and the order is communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of as above. No costs.

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

Kvs/-